ORIGINAL

NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCIATION

2120 L Street, NW Suite 650 Washington, D.C. 20037 Tel. 202-263-1656 Fax. 202-776-0078 e-mail: Imagrud@neca.org

February 7, 2000

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Portals - 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Lora Magruder
Manager, Government Relations

FEB - 7 2000

COMMISSION

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Re: Ex Parte Notice, CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board.

Dear Ms. Salas:

On Friday, February 4 the attached material was sent via e-mail and U.S. mail to state members of the Federal-State Joint Board on Separations.

In accordance with Commission rules, I am submitting two copies of this notice. Kindly stamp the additional return copy provided. Please direct any questions to me.

Sincerely,

10 18 x 1 1109

CC: Diane Munns, Iowa UB
Joan H. Smith, Oregon PUC
Thomas L. Welch, Maine PUC
Joseph P. Mettner, Wisconsin PSC
Frederick Sistarenick, New York PSC
Joel Shifman, Maine PUC
James Bradford Ramsay, NARUC
Samuel Loudenslager, Arkansas PSC
Jeffrey Richter, Wisconsin PSC
Cynthia VanLanduyt, Oregon PUC
Sandra Ibaugh, Indiana URC
Jonathan Lakritz, California PUC
Peter M. Bluhm, Vermont PSB
Lorraine Kenyon, RC Alaska

No. of Cooles rec'd 0+2

SEPARATIONS FREEZE PROPOSAL FOR NON-PRICE CAP COMPANIES

- Increasing levels of Internet traffic, changes in network technologies, and numerous other factors, are raising fundamental separations and jurisdictional issues
- Internet traffic impacts alone provide a compelling case for an interim freeze of separations factors:
 - ⇒ Based on NECA Traffic Sensitive pool cost company data, approximately 18% of 1998 local/intrastate dial equipment minutes (DEM) represent Internet traffic
 - ⇒ This results in a \$170 million misallocation of costs to the intrastate jurisdiction
- An interim separations freeze based on a representative historical period must be put into effect immediately
- Separations freeze must stop the unjustified cost shifts at a point <u>before</u> they have done serious damage to the reliability of the usage factors

> INDUSTRY PROPOSAL FOR NON-PRICE CAP COMPANIES:

- ⇒ Immediate interim separations factors freeze based on the 1995-1997 three-year average period
- ⇒ Optional freeze of categorization relationships based on the same three-year average period
- Factor freeze results in minimal cost shifts. Comparing a factor freeze based on three-year average of 1995 1997 to base year 1998:
 - ⇒ Approximately 69% of NECA cost company study areas fall within a \$2 per line per month jurisdictional cost shift
- > Factor freeze and optional freeze of categorization relationships should be applied prospectively

SEPARATIONS FREEZE PROPOSAL FOR NON-PRICE CAP COMPANIES

Impact on NECA Cost Company Study Areas

·		1995, 1996 & 1997 FACTOR FREEZE COMPARED TO BASE 1998		1995, 1996 & 1997 FACTOR & CATEGORIZATION FREEZE COMPARED TO BASE 1998	
DIFFERENCE PER LOOP PER MONTH		# OF STUDY AREAS	% OF TOTAL STUDY AREAS	# OF STUDY AREAS	% OF TOTAL STUDY AREAS
Shift to Interstate	\$5+	23	3.9%	33	5.6%
	\$4 to \$5	11	1.9%	15	2.5%
	\$3 to \$4	13	2.2%	29	4.9%
	\$2 to \$3	18	3.0%	64	10.8%
	\$1 to \$2	39	6.6%	64	10.8%
	\$0 to \$1	104	17.5%	113	19.0%
Shift to State	\$-1 to \$-0	175	29.5%	122	20.5%
	\$-2 to \$-1	93	15.7%	53	8.9%
	\$-3 to \$-2	50	8.4%	41	6.9%
	\$-4 to \$-3	31	5.2%	24	4.0%
	\$-5 to \$-4	12	2.0%	13	2.2%
	Below \$-5	25	4.2%	23	3.9%
	TOTAL	594	100.0%	594	100.0%
ift to State :g. Avg Per Line/Mo		\$0.46		\$0.06	
nple Avg Per Line/Mo		\$0.26		(\$0.46)	

<u>te:</u>

lar impact of categorization relationship freeze reflects interstate access only, not USF support.



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Hon. Diane Munns Commissioner Iowa Utilities Board 350 Maple Street Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Commissioner Munns:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Thomas L. Welch Chairman Maine Public Utilities Commission 242 State Street State House Station 18 Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Welch:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Hon. Joan H. Smith Commissioner Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol Street, N.E. Salem, Oregon 97310-1380

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Commissioner Smith:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Hon. Joseph P. Mettner Commissioner Wisconsin Public Service Commission P.O. Box 7854 Madison, WI 53707

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Commissioner Mettner:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely.



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Frederick Sistarenick New York Public Services Commission Three Empire State Plaza Fifth Floor Albany, New York 12223-1350

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Sistarenick:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Joel Shifman Maine Public Utilities Commission 242 State Street State House Station 18 Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Shifman:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Samuel Loudenslager Director Research and Policy Development Arkansas Public Service Commission 1000 Center Building Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Loudenslager:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

James Bradford Ramsay NARUC Observer Assistant General Counsel

1100 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W., Suite 603

Washington, D.C. 20004

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Ramsay:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Jeffrey J. Richter Wisconsin Public Service Commission 610 North Whitney Way Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Richter

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Cynthia VanLanduyt Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol Street, N.E. Salem, Oregon 97310-1380

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Ms. Vantanduyt:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Sandra Ibaugh Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission 302 West Washington Street Suite E306 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Ms. Baugh:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely.



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Jonathan Lakritz
California Public Utilities Commission
California State Building
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102-3298

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Lakritz:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Peter M. Bluhm Vermont Public Service Board Drawer 20 Montpelier, Vermont 05620-2701

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Mr. Bluhm:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Gina Harrison Senior Counsel and Director Washington Office

February 4, 2000

Lorraine Kenyon Alaska Public Utilities Commission Suite 400 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1693

Re: CC Docket No. 80-286, In the Matter Of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board

Dear Ms. Kenyon:

As you know, jurisdictional treatment of Internet traffic is an open, and ever-more pressing issue for federal and state regulators on the Separations Joint Board. NECA has submitted for this proceeding's record a survey for 1998 showing that 18% of its pool members intrastate minutes are Internet traffic, resulting in a \$170 misallocation to the states. An interim separations freeze has been proposed to arrest the problem temporarily, while a permanent resolution is achieved. Recently, the industry arrived at a consensus on the parameters of such a freeze. The attached material reflects the proposal for rate-of-return carriers, accompanied by an analysis of the impact of the proposal on NECA local exchange carriers.

NECA would like to schedule a time to speak to you in more depth regarding this consensus position, preferably in advance of the NARUC March meetings in Washington. May I call you to do? In the meantime, I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have, or to assist in any way.

Sincerely,